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Abstract

The kind of high-integrity real-time systems to which the Ada Ravenscar profile is targeted often require overrun detection for enhanced reliability in the time domain. Execution-time clocks and timers have been proposed to fulfill this need, but only programming patterns based on asynchronous transfer of control, and thus incompatible with the profile, have been provided up to now. In this paper an assessment of the compatibility of the proposed execution-time mechanisms with the Ravenscar profile is made, and some programming patterns for using them are proposed. The patterns are intended to provide basic overrun detection and handling capabilities to high-integrity real-time systems.

1. Introduction

Hard-real time systems have strict timing requirements, which can be guaranteed by construction, using an ad-hoc cyclic executive [7, 23] or by analysis on a multitasking executive, using response time analysis techniques [12]. Multitasking has clear advantages over cyclic executives, because of its inherent flexibility and ease of maintenance [18], and is becoming the preferred approach even in such fields as embedded spacecraft systems [21] where the cyclic executive method has traditionally been chosen.

Response time analysis techniques require a computational model with specific characteristics in order to ensure a predictable behaviour in the time domain. An example of such a model is the Ada 95 Ravenscar profile [8, 9, 11], which was defined at the 8th IRTA W and further refined at subsequent IRTA W meetings [6, 22, 10]. The profile has been included in the ISO report on the use of Ada in high integrity systems [17], and the Ada Rapporteur Group (ARG) has accepted it as a proposed addition to the next revision of the language.

Ensuring the required real-time behaviour usually relies on an accurate knowledge of the worst-case computation times (WCET) of all the real-time tasks. Although some good techniques for computing WCET are available [20], there is still a large degree of uncertainty, especially when modern processors with cache memories and segmentation are used. Pessimistic WCET estimations lead to an underutilization of resources, and thus tight estimates are usually sought. The risk with tight WCET estimates is, on the other hand, to be optimistic, and then occasionally get an actual execution time which is larger than the estimated value. This situation is called an overrun, and may give rise to a generalised miss of deadlines by tasks by a domino effect. Run-time monitoring techniques are often used to detect when a task surpasses its budgeted execution time and take corrective actions before other tasks are affected by an overrun.

Monitoring of the actual execution times is routinely done in cyclic executives by means of a simple mechanism: every time the timer interrupt arrives, if there is a task running then some task has exceeded its budgeted execution time, and a recovery action is invoked. The recovery action often takes the form of replacing the faulty task, or a group of related tasks, by an emergency task that either provides a degraded functionality or performs a safe stop. However, this simple kind of overrun detection cannot be used in multitasking systems such as the ones built with Ada tasking and the Real-Time annex.

González-Harbour and others [16] proposed to add ex-
execution clocks to Ada in order to provide this functionality. A similar mechanism has been included in the POSIX real-time services. A proposal to incorporate the POSIX execution clock mechanisms in Ada was presented and discussed at IRTAW’10 [2], and was demonstrated in MarteOS [3]. Based on this work a proposal was discussed at the last IRTAW and presented to the ARG (AI95-00307). The original proposal contemplated two possible implementations, one based on a library package, and the other one including full integration in the language, with the definition of a new time type [19]. Although the integrated solution is better from the programmer’s point of view, the package solution was preferred by the ARG because it does not require any changes to the language or to existing compilers.

The new Ada execution time clocks can be used to detect overruns and to implement several recovery strategies [16] with Ada tasking. However, their use with the Ravenscar profile and their implementation in Ravenscar kernels has not been investigated. The rest of this paper discusses the compatibility of execution time clocks with the Ravenscar profile, the overrun detection and recovery mechanisms that can possibly be used with the profile, and the possible ways of including execution time clocks in Ravenscar kernels. Only the package solution is considered as it is the one preferred by the ARG.

2. Compatibility with the Ravenscar profile

The first question to be solved is whether the execution clock mechanisms themselves are compatible with the Ravenscar profile. For this purpose let us look first at the proposed Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time package specification (appendix A). Its main elements are:

- The CPU_Time type. This data type is not intended to be a “time type” as defined in the ALRM (9.6.6.) [1], i.e. it cannot be used in delay until statements and other timing constructs.

- A Clock function that provides an execution-time clock for each task.

- A protected Timer type definition from which execution-time timers can be declared on a per-task basis.

Timers can be armed and disarmed, and tested for expiration. An entry (Time_Exceeded) allows a task to wait until the timer expires.

Checking these definitions against the Ravenscar profile restrictions, it is clear that none of them are violated. In particular, the definition of the Timer protected type has only one entry, which can be easily implemented with a simple barrier. It can thus be concluded that the use of the Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time package is compatible with the Ravenscar profile.

3. Overrun detection and handling in Ravenscar programs

3.1. Overrun detection schemes

Most of the overrun detection and recovery schemes proposed by González-Harbour and his colleagues [16] cannot be used under the Ravenscar profile restrictions, as they make use of such mechanisms as asynchronous transfer of control (ATC), abort statements, or dynamic priorities, which are not allowed by the profile. This means that alternative overrun detection and handling techniques have to be used. We propose here two such schemes which can be used to detect overruns in real-time tasks. Overrun handling strategies are then discussed in section 3.2.

Handled overrun detection

The first overrun detection scheme is the same as the handled scheme which was previously proposed by González-Harbour et al. The code for a periodic task is given in program 1.

```ada
use Ada;
use type Ada.Real_Time.Time;
use type Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time.Time;

task body Periodic_Handled is
   WCET : constant Duration := 1.0E-3;
   Period : constant Duration := 1.0E-2;
begin
   loop
      Do_useful_work;
      if Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time.Clock - T > WCET then
         -- budgeted execution time exceeded
         Handle_the_error;
      end if;
      Next_Start := Next_Start + To_Time_Span(Period);
      delay until Next_Start;
   end loop;
end Periodic_Handled;
```

This scheme lets a task check if it has executed for longer than its budgeted WCET once it has completed its periodic activity. Therefore, if there is an overrun, it is only detected after the harm has been done, and some other tasks may have missed their deadlines by that time. A more effective
Supervised overrun detection

The second scheme uses an execution-time timer and a supervisor task to detect possible overruns in a real-time task. The supervisor task is blocked on the Timer_Expired entry of the timer, which is armed when the real-time task starts its activity and disarmed when it is completed. Under normal conditions the timer is disarmed before its expiration, but if the task activity takes longer to execute than its budgeted WCET, the timer entry barrier is opened and the supervisor task gets ready to run. The supervisor is assigned a very high priority so that it preempts the execution of the faulty task and can thus do some corrective action without waiting to the completion of the real-time task activity. The code for a periodic task is given in program 2.

-- Program 2: Supervised overrun detection

with Ada.Real_Time,
    Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time,
    Ada.Task_Identification;
use Ada;
use type Ada.Real_Time.Time;
use type Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time.Time;

Periodic_Id : aliased Task_Identification.Task_Id :=
    Periodic_Supervised.Identity;
    (Periodic_Id'Access);

task body Periodic_Supervised is
    Next_Start : Real_Time.Time := Real_Time.Clock;
    WCET : constant Duration := 1.0E−3;
    Period : constant Duration := 1.0E−2;

begin
    loop
        The_Timer.Arm(Real_Time.To_Time_Span(WCET));
        Do_useful_work;
        The_Timer.Disarm;
        Next_Start := Next_Start + To_Time_Span(Period);
        delay until Next_Start;
    end loop;
end Periodic_Supervised;

task Supervisor is
    pragma Priority(System.Priority.Last);
end Supervisor;

task body Supervisor is

begin
    loop
        The_Timer.Timer_Expired;
        Handle_the_error;
    end loop;
end Supervisor;

...
task, which are invoked when the task is about to terminate, either normally or abnormally [10]. The initial proposal, which includes a task group mechanism, was rejected at the workshop, but it was later reformulated to a simpler scheme. However, the proposal does not include a task termination mechanism other than abortion, and it thus does not solve the problem of starting a system reconfiguration from a supervisor task.

Therefore, there is a need to provide a system-level service for reconfiguration after an overrun has been detected. This functionality has to be provided by the runtime system, as it comes from the previous discussion that no application-level mechanism is available to this purpose under the Ravenscar profile restrictions. The reconfiguration services could be provided by a library package such as the one shown in program 3.

4. Implementing execution-time clocks in Ravenscar kernels

Pilot implementations of execution-clocks in Marte OS [3] suggest that implementing this functionality in Ravenscar kernels will not be too complex. A pilot implementation on the Open Ravenscar Kernel [13, 15] has been made, although it has a different interface than the AI proposal.

5. Conclusions

The discussions and examples provided in the previous sections show that execution-time clocks are a indeed a useful feature for high-integrity real-time systems. The compatibility of the execution-time package which has been proposed for the next revision of the language with Ravenscar profile has been stated, and some possible uses of this mechanism for overrun detection and recovery have been proposed.
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A Execution time package specification

with Ada.Task_Identification;
package Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time is

type CPU_Time is private;
CPU_Time_First : constant CPU_Time;
CPU_Time_Last : constant CPU_Time;
CPU_Time_Unit : constant => implementation-defined-real-number;
CPU_Tick : constant Time_Span;

function Clock
(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_ID
return CPU_Time;

function *= (Left : CPU_Time; Right : Time_Span)
return CPU_Time;
function *= (Left : Time_Span; Right : CPU_Time)
return CPU_Time;
function *= (Left : CPU_Time; Right : Time_Span)
return CPU_Time;
function *= (Left : Time_Span; Right : CPU_Time)
return Time_Span;
function *= (Left : Time_Span; Right : Time_Span)
return CPU_Time;

function < (Left, Right : CPU_Time) return Boolean;
function <= (Left, Right : CPU_Time) return Boolean;
function > (Left, Right : CPU_Time) return Boolean;
function >= (Left, Right : CPU_Time) return Boolean;

procedure Split(T : CPU_Time;
SC : out Seconds_Count;
TS : out Time_Span);

function Time_Of (SC : Seconds_Count; TS : Time_Span)
return CPU_Time;

protected type Timer
(T : access Ada.Task_Identification.Task_ID)
is
procedure Arm (Interval : Time_Span);
procedure Arm (Abs_Time : CPU_Time);
procedure Disarm;
entry Timer_Expired;
function Timer_Has_Expired return Boolean;
function Time_Remaining return Time_Span;
private
-- not specified by the language
end Timer;

end Ada.Real_Time.Execution_Time;