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Multitasking

Ada
Tasking
Central
The Ada parallel processing model is a useful model for the abstract description of many parallel processing problems. In addition, a more static monitor-like approach is available for shared data-access applications.

Ada provides support for single and multiple processor parallel processing, and also includes support for time-critical real-time and distributed applications.
What a Task is

- Concurrently Executing Program Unit
  - One processor (single thread of control)
  - Multi-programming (multiple threads)
  - Multi-processing (multiple threads)
  - Distributed Environment (sterile)
  - Distributed Environment

- Always a Slave
  - Must have a master
  - Sometimes *abortable*
  - Can be *aborted* by ANYBODY (who has visibility)
  - Since a task must have a master, it can never be a library unit

- What makes the master important?
  - The master may not terminate until all “children” are finished
  - Library packages acting as a master may have “rogue” tasks
**Simple Task Syntax**

```plaintext

task [type] task_simple_name [ is 
  {entry declaration}
  {representation clause}
end [ task_simple_name ] ] ;

task body task_simple_name is
  [declarative part]
begin
  sequence_of_statements
  [exception
    exception handler ]
end [ task_simple_name ] ;
```
Examples - Single Task

Only 1 task, and it’s name is EAT_UP_RESOURCES!!! We have just coded a task that will eat up ALL CPU resources. This is NOT a good thing!
Examples - Task Types

```plaintext
task type EAT_UP_RESOURCES ;

task body EAT_UP_RESOURCES  is
  begin
    loop
      null;
    end loop;
  end EAT_UP_RESOURCES;

type EATER is access EAT_UP_RESOURCES;
EAT_UP_1 : EATER;
EAT_UP_A_LOT : array (1..10) of  EATER;
```

There are 11 tasks defined above!
When does a task start?

- After the elaboration of the declarative part that each task is declared in. Basically, after the “begin” statement, but before any other executable statement.

- Allows `TASKING_ERROR` to be raised in the “master” in case of problems in the elaboration of a task.

**NOTE** - This is the ONLY time that a task will raise an asynchronous exception in the master. There may be only 1 `TASKING_ERROR` per master per declarative region.
Simplest tasks have no communication with other program units

Task EAT_UP_RESOURCES;

task body EAT_UP_RESOURCES is
begin
loop
  Do_some_thing;
  exit when Good_and_ready;
end loop;
end EAT_UP_RESOURCES;
If another program unit calls a task, and the task accepts the call, then the two units (the caller and the callee) are said to be in *rendezvous*.

During rendezvous, the caller is *suspended* or *blocked*, and the callee (the task unit) is active.
Problem - how to synchronize two objects?

Solution
- Have an Ada task that synchronizes with a calling unit.

Scenario
- Program unit calls a task, saying “let me know when you are ready to synchronize”
- Ada task “accepts” the synchronize call, and executes an optional Sequence Of Statements (SOS). The caller and task are now synchronized
Synchronization Calls

task DO_SOMETHING is
  entry SYNC_POINT;
end DO_SOMETHING;

task body DO_SOMETHING is
  begin
    loop
      accept SYNC_POINT do
        <<SOS #1>>
        end SYNC_POINT;
      <SOS #2>
      end loop;
  end DO_SOMETHING;

The “accept” synchronizes the caller and server, during SOS #1 and prepares the task to execute SOS #2.

SOS #1 occurs during rendezvous, and the caller is “blocked” while the receiver (server) executes the statements. SOS #1 should be only as long as absolutely necessary. SOS #1 may be null.

SOS #2 occurs after rendezvous, and multiple threads of control exist. Both the caller and server are executing in parallel.
Tasking/Tasking/Realtime

Simple “sync” call

task DO_SOMETHING is
  entry SYNC_POINT;
end DO_SOMETHING;

task body DO_SOMETHING is
  begin
    loop
      accept SYNC_POINT;
      <SOS #2>
      end loop;
  end DO_SOMETHING;

There is no action associated with the synchronize call, so there is no “do end;” associated with entry point SYNC_POINT.

As soon as the task Do_Something accepts the call, the synchronization ends, and both the caller and callee proceed after the synchronization.
How long does a task “wait”?

◆ The easiest option to program is the “wait forever” model.
◆ In this model, a task is willing to wait for a call until some other program unit calls it. Although a parallel thread of control, the task is inactive, waiting for another program unit to call it and reactivate it.
When we say “call”....

- We don’t mean call in the sense of calling a procedure or function. The task is already an active entity, occupying stack, memory, and machine cycles.

- Calling a task refers to an attempt to rendezvous
Wait forever!

*An “ENTRY POINT” defines a point to rendezvous (synchronization or exchange data point) with a task.*

You can NEVER call a task, only rendezvous with it at an entry point. An entry point is like a “phone number” to the task.

task DO_SOMETHING is
    entry SYNC_POINT;
end DO_SOMETHING;

task body DO_SOMETHING is
    begin
        loop
            accept SYNC_POINT;
            --Sequence Of Statements
            end loop;
        end do SOMETHING;
Multiple Accept Statements

- There is nothing “sacred” about “accept” statements.
- There may be multiple accepts per entry point

```vhdl
task type DO_SOMETHING_ELSE is
    entry SYNC_POINT;
end DO_SOMETHING_ELSE

task body DO_SOMETHING_ELSE is
    begin
        loop
            accept SYNC_POINT do
                --Sequence of Statement
            end SYNC_POINT;
            --Sequence of Statements
            accept SYNC_POINT;
        end loop;
    end DO_SOMETHING_ELSE;
```
An entry point may define parameters (like a procedure or function definition)

```vhdl
task type DO_LITTLE is
    entry GET_DATA (PARAM1 : in SOME_TYPE);
    entry PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE);
end DO_LITTLE;

TASK_DO_LITTLE : DO_LITTLE;

task body DO_LITTLE is
    HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
    begin
        loop
            accept GET_DATA (PARAM1 : in SOME_TYPE) do
                HOLDER := PARAM1;
            end GET_DATA;

            accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do
                PARAM2 := HOLDER;
            end PUT_DATA;
        end loop;
end DO_LITTLE;
```
What the Previous Example Does

- Enforces “server-client” relationship for a “critical” data item.
- Requires a “new” item to be created before it can be “consumed”
- Requires the current item to be “consumed” before a new item can be created.
- Will allow multiple producers/consumers to interact by using the task as a “middleman”
task body DO_LITTLE is
    HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
begin
    loop
        accept GET_DATA  ( PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do
            HOLDER := PARAM1;
        end GET_DATA;
        --the above lines accept data from some calling unit

        accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do
            PARAM2 := HOLDER;
        end PUT_DATA;
    end loop;
end DO_LITTLE;
task body DO_LITTLE is
    HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
begin
    loop
        accept GET_DATA (PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do
            HOLDER := PARAM1;
        end GET_DATA;

        --Maybe some code to put the data into a stack, queue, buffer, etc

        accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do
            PARAM2 := HOLDER;
        end PUT_DATA;
    end loop;
end DO_LITTLE;
task body DO_LITTLE is
   HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
begin
   loop
      accept GET_DATA (PARAM1: in SOME_TYPE) do
         HOLDER := PARAM1;
      end GET_DATA;

      accept PUT_DATA (PARAM2 : out SOME_TYPE) do
         PARAM2 := HOLDER;
      end PUT_DATA;
      --pass on some data, perhaps from a buffer
   end loop;
end DO_LITTLE;
Implicit Queues for Entry Points

- Queues
  - By definition of accept statement, only 1 caller may be in rendezvous per task.
  - This means that calls for task entries are neither reentrant nor recursive
- There is a queue associated with each entry point. All callers to this entry stand in an ordered line.
Use “Wait Until I get Done” with Great Care!

- Could be replaced with a simple procedure/function call except in special Cases!
- Use entry points to pass data “one way”

NOT

```
task type DO_PROCESSING is
  entry DO_WORK (DATA : in out SOME_TYPE);
end DO_PROCESSING;

WORKER : DO_PROCESSING;
task body DO_PROCESSING is
begin
  loop
    accept DO_WORK (DATA : in out SOME_TYPE) do
      -- some long, involved processing here
      end DO_WORK;
  end loop;
end DO_PROCESSING;
```
task DO_PROCESSING is
  entry GET_DATA (DATA : in SOME_TYPE);
  entry PUT_DATA (DATA : out SOME_TYPE);
end DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is
  HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
begin
  loop
    accept GET_DATA(DATA: in SOME_TYPE) do
      HOLDER := DATA;
    end GET_DATA;

    -- some long, involved processing here

    accept PUT_DATA(DATA: out SOME_TYPE) do
      DATA := HOLDER;
    end PUT_DATA;

  end loop;
end DO_PROCESSING;
task type DO_PROCESSING is
  entry GET_DATA ( DATA : in SOME_TYPE);
  entry PUT_DATA ( DATA : out SOME_TYPE);
end DO_PROCESSING;

WORKER : DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is
  HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
  ...
end DO_PROCESSING;

begin
  loop
    accept GET_DATA (DATA: in SOME_TYPE) do
      HOLDER := DATA;
      end GET_DATA;
    end loop;
    ...
    exit when <some condition>;
  end loop;
end DO_PROCESSING;
Multiple Callers - the *Select*

Task TASK2 is
  entry ENTRY1;
  entry ENTRY2;
end TASK2;

Task body TASK2 is
  begin
    loop
      select -- Waits for a call of ENTRY1 or ENTRY2
        accept ENTRY1 [do
          <SOS>
        end ENTRY1];
        [<SOS>]
      or
        accept ENTRY2 [do
          <SOS>
        end ENTRY2];
        [<SOS>]
      end select;
    end loop;
  end TASK2;
The *Select* Concerns

- The order of selection is not defined by the language!!!
  - It may be arbitrary, fair, consistent, inconsistent or predefined!!!
  - Any program that makes assumptions about the order of the selection of the open alternatives should be considered "erroneous"!!!
The Select (cont.)

- Each accept statement in a “select” is called an ALTERNATIVE
  - Each alternative is allowed to have an optional “guard” of the form
    ```
    when <Boolean condition> =>
    accept ...
    ```
  - If the guard is true, then the alternative is “open” and the corresponding “accept” is considered
  - If the guard is false, the alternative is called “closed”, and not a possible alternative
  - If all alternatives are closed, a PROGRAM_ERROR is raised!!
  - In any “Wait case”, an alternative is evaluated only once per select!!
task type DO_PROCESSING is
  entry GET_DATA ( DATA : in SOME_TYPE);
  entry PUT_DATA ( DATA : out SOME_TYPE);
  entry SHUTDOWN;
end DO_PROCESSING;

WORKER :
  DO_PROCESSING;

task body DO_PROCESSING is
  HOLDER : SOME_TYPE;
  ...
  ...
  ...

begin
  loop
    select
      accept GET_DATA(DATA: in SOME_TYPE) do
        HOLDER := DATA;
        end GET_DATA;
      or
      accept PUT_DATA(DATA: out SOME_TYPE) do
        DATA := HOLDER;
        end PUT_DATA;
      or
      accept SHUTDOWN;
        --sync call only
        exit;
    end select;
  end loop;
end DO_PROCESSING;

--Question: What if callers still in queue?

They will now have a program error!
Finite Wait - the **Delay**

- This is the **WAIT FOR A FINITE AMOUNT OF TIME** option
- The syntax is:
  
  
  
  or
  
  
  delay <fixed-point DURATION>;
  
  [--optional sequence of statements]

- The duration is expressed in seconds (X.X)
- Since the delay may be dynamic (an expression), a negative value may be used (treated as 0)
- Multiple delays are allowed (the shortest one “wins”)
- the delay statement may also have a guard
- After a time equal to the delay, no other open alternatives will be allowed
- After a time >= the delay, the optional <SOS> after the delay is executed, and the select terminates
task FAST_FOOD is
  entry WALK_IN;
  entry DRIVE_UP;
end FAST_FOOD;

task body FAST_FOOD is
begin
  loop
    select
      when WALK_IN_HOURS => accept WALK_IN do
        ...
      end WALK_IN;
    or
      when DRIVE_UP_HOURS => accept DRIVE_UP do
        ...
      end DRIVE_UP;
    or
      delay 60.0;  --if no customers after 1 minute, clean up
      CLEAN_UP_TABLES;
    end select;
  end loop;
end FAST_FOOD;
Passive Quitting - *Terminate*

```
select
  accept ... 
or
  accept ... 
or
  terminate;
end select;
```

- This says “If I have no callers in line, and my master is waiting to quit, and all of my children are ready to quit, then I may now terminate”

- This option is mutually exclusive with the *delay* Thus, you can only use the *terminate* option with a *wait forever* in a select
Close the burger joint

loop
select
when WALK_IN_HOURS =>
accept WALK_IN do
...
end WALK_IN;
or
when DRIVE_UP_HOURS =>
accept DRIVE_UP do
...
end DRIVE_UP;
or
terminate; --passively wait to quit
end select;
end loop;
end FAST_FOOD;
Don’t Wait at All - the *Else*

- This option is mutually exclusive with both the *delay* and the *terminate* alternative

```
select
  accept ...
or
  accept ...
or
  accept ...
else
  <SOS>;
end select;
```

- If there is NOBODY in queue, then perform the sequence of statements

- *This option must be used carefully. Depending upon the type of wait the caller will take, it can cause huge overhead and prevent “real” work from getting done!*

- If a caller is using the “don’t wait” option also, what are the odds of achieving a rendezvous??
Never Code a *Busy Wait*

A “busy wait” consumes resources, and can easily lock-up up a non-time-slicing system!

Specifically, single processor systems are very sensitive to this.
As we have seen, there are three ways to “receive” an entry call:

1. Wait forever
2. Wait for a determinate time
3. Don’t wait at all

There are three corresponding ways to “call” an entry point.

NOTE: inside a task, you don’t know who was “placing” the call. However, to call an entry, you MUST specify both the task name and the entry point.
Wait Forever Entry Call

- Much like a procedure call. You simply specify the TASK_NAME.ENTRY_NAME;

  ....
  Some_Task.Some_Entry(Some_Parameters);
  ....
  ....

- Once this type of “call” is placed, you have ABSOLUTELY NO CONTROL over how long you wait. Also, you can’t even determine how many people are in line ahead of you!!
Timed Entry Call

This allows you to wait for a maximum time in queue, then “jump out of the queue”.

```
select
    TASK_NAME.ENTRY_NAME (optional_data);
<optional SOS>
or
    delay 60.0;
<optional SOS>
end select;
```

The select statement is used for BOTH the “selective waits” in receiving an entry call in the task, and for placing calls to a task entry. This orthogonality is very confusing to beginning Ada code readers.
Only One Task at a Time

You can only call one task at a time.

select
    TASK_ONE.ENTRY_NAME;
or
    TASK_TWO.ENTRY_NAME;  -- ILLEGAL
end select;

💡 You can only call one task at a time.
**Don’t Wait at All Entry Call**

```
select
    TASK_NAME.ENTRY_NAME;
<optional SOS>
else
    <SOS>
end select;
```

NEVER use this type of call if there is ANY chance that the task you are calling is also using the “else” option.

(translation - don’t use this option except in very special circumstances.)
Let’s look at some code!

- Time for the “Aggie Burger” examples
- In these examples, we look at various options for rendezvous and calling
- There is a main program that contains a task called *Aggie Burger*, and also a procedure called *consume*
- *Serve* provides food. *Consume* takes the food.
procedure MAIN is

  type FOOD_TYPE is .....  

  MY_TRAY : FOOD_TYPE;

  task AGGIE_BURGER is
    entry SERVE ( TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE );
  end AGGIE_BURGER;

  task body AGGIE_BURGER is separate;

  procedure CONSUME ( MY_TRAY : in FOOD_TYPE )
    is separate;

  begin
    ..
    ..
  end MAIN;
The task AGGIE_BURGER provides a service (resource). It is a producer.

separate (MAIN)
task body AGGIE_BURGER is

    THE_FOOD : FOOD_TYPE;

    function COOK return FOOD_TYPE is
        ..
        ..
    end COOK;

begin
    ..
    .. -- We are going to fill in the task body later
    ..
end;
For now, let us assume that the body of MAIN always looks like the following:

```plaintext
begin
  loop
    ..
    ..
    AGGIE_BURGER.SERVE(MY_TRAY);
    CONSUME (MY_TRAY);
    ..
    delay (SOME_VALUE);  --take a nap
    ..  --basically, eat and sleep all day
  end loop
end MAIN;
```
Callee scenario #1

separate (MAIN)
task body AGGIE_BURGER is

THE_FOOD : FOOD_TYPE;

function COOK return FOOD_TYPE is

end COOK;

begin
loop
THE_FOOD := COOK; --cook the food
accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
TRAY := THE_FOOD;
end SERVE;
end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;

--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

The food is of indeterminate age. We never quit.
Callee scenario #2

begin
loop
    THE_FOOD := COOK;
    select
        accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
            TRAY := THE_FOOD;
        end SERVE;
    or
        terminate;
    end select;
end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;

--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

_The food is of indeterminate age. We quit when our parent is ready to quit._
begin
  loop
    THE_FOOD := COOK;
    select
      accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
        TRAY := THE_FOOD;
      end SERVE;
    else
      null;
    end select;
  end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;

--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

The food is immediately ready (but we waste a lot!) We never quit.
Callee scenario #4

begin
loop
    THE_FOOD := COOK;
    select
        accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
            TRAY := THE_FOOD;
        end SERVE;
    or
        delay 15.0 * MINUTES;
        null;
    end select;
end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;

--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

The food is no more than 15 minutes old. We never quit.
Callee scenario #5

begin loop
  THE_FOOD := COOK;
  select
    accept SERVE(TRAY : out FOOD_TYPE) do
      TRAY := THE_FOOD;
      end SERVE;
    or
      delay 15.0 * MINUTES;
    or
      when not SERVING_HOURS =>
        delay 0.0;
        exit;  --why not terminate??
  end select;
end loop;
end AGGIE_BURGER;

--Question - how fresh is the food? How do we quit?

The food is no more than 15 minutes old. We actively quit when serving hours are over.
Caller scenario #1

procedure MAIN is

begin

select

AGGIE_BURGER.SERVE(...);
CONSUME(...);

or

ut_burger.SERVE(...);
CONSUME(...);

end select;

--This is what you want to do (always get in the shortest line)
--Unfortunately, it’s illegal!!
procedure MAIN is
  ..
  ..
begin
  ..
    select
      AGGIE_BURGER.SERVE(..);
      CONSUME(...);
    or
      delay 10.0 * MINUTES;
    select
      ut_burger.SERVE(..);
      --clearly, an inferior and hence, second choice
      CONSUME(...);
    or
      delay 10.0 * MINUTES;
    EAT_AT_HOME;
end select;
end select;
Other uses of tasks

- Multiple producer-consumer relationships
  - QUESTION -- How can I add a new producer without having to notify all consumers?
  - QUESTION -- How can I add a new consumer without having to notify all producers?

- ANSWER -- Use an “intermediary” task to act as a “buffer”
This “intermediary” will be called by all consumers (those that would normally call the task)
- The actual tasks (those “producing”) will in turn call the intermediary to get their input
- Additional producers or consumers will still call the intermediary (so no code changes will be necessary)
- The intermediary will only be called (and not calling producers and consumers), so no changes need be made to it when performing “load balancing”
- To “load balance”, you just monitor the size of the buffer in the intermediary. Spawn or terminate new producers or consumers as necessary
Asynchronous Transfer of Control (then abort)

- Allows a sequence of statements to be interrupted and then abandoned upon some event.
- Event is either completion of an entry call, or expiration of a delay.
- Used for a mode change, time bounded computations, user-initiated interruption, etc.
User-initiated Interrupt

loop
  select
    Terminal.Wait_for_Interrupt;
    Put_Line ("Process Interrupted..");
  then abort
    Put_Line ("-> ");
    Get_Line (Command, Last);
    Process_Command (Command (1..Last));
  end select;
end loop;

This process will be abandoned by terminal interrupt
select -- Time Critical Data Processing
  delay 5.0;
  Set_Display_Object_Color (Yellow);
  Put_Line ("Target lock aborted data too old.");
then abort -- Data not received in 5.0 seconds
  Position_Object;
  Set_Display_Object_Color (Green);
end select;
select  -- Mode Change
     Confirmed_Air_Threat.We_are_Gonna_Die;
     Sound_Tone;
     Crash_Avoidance;
     then abort
     Land_Aircraft;
end select;
**Requeue Statement**

```c
requeue Entry_Name [with abort];
```

- The `requeue` allows a call to an entry to be placed back in the queue for later processing.
- Without the `with abort` option, the requeued entry is protected against cancellation.
Delay and Until Statements

delay Next_Time - Calendar.Now;

-- suspended for at least the duration specified

delay until Next_time;

-- specifies an absolute time rather than a time interval

The *until does not* provide a guaranteed delay interval, but it does prevent inaccuracies due to swapping out between the “delay interval calculation” and the delay statement
Delay Statement

task body Poll_Device is
  Poll_Time  : Real_Time.Time  := time_to_start_polling;
  Period     : constant Real_Time.Interval := 10 * Milliseconds;
begin
  loop
    delay until Poll_Time;
    .  --- sequence of statements
    .  --- to
    .  --- Poll the device
    Poll_Time := Poll_Time + Period;
  end loop;
end Poll_Device;

Poll_Device task polls the device every 10 milliseconds starting at the initial value of Poll_Time. The period will not drift.
Protected types provide a low-level, lightweight synchronization mechanism whose key features are:

- Protected types are used to control access to data shared among multiple processes.
- Operations of the protected type synchronize access to the data.
- Protected types have three kinds of operations: protected functions, protected procedures, and entries.
- Protected types provide “threads” – separate threads of control that do not require stack space (or activation records).
Protected Units & Protected Objects

- Protected procedures provide mutually exclusive read-write access to the data of a protected object.
- Protected functions provide concurrent read-only access to the data.
- Protected entries also provide exclusive read-write access to the data.
- Protected entries have a specified barrier (a Boolean expression). This barrier must be true prior to the entry call allowing access to the data.
package Mailbox_Pkg is
    type Parcels_Count is range 0 .. Mbox_Size;
    type Parcels_Index is range 1 .. Mbox_Size;
    type Parcels_Array is array (Parcel_Index) of Parcels;

protected type Mailbox is
    -- put a data element into the buffer
    entry Send (Item : Parcels);
    -- retrieve a data element from the buffer
    entry Receive (Item : out Parcels);
    procedure Clear;
    function Number_In_Box return Integer;

private
    Count : Parcels_count := 0;
    Out_Index : Parcels_Index := 1;
    In_Index : Parcels_Index := 1;
    Data : Parcels_Array;
end Mailbox;
end Mailbox_Pkg;
package body Mailbox_Pkg is

protected body Mailbox is

  entry Send ( Item : Parcels) when Count < Mbox_Size is
      -- block until room
      begin
        Data ( In_Index ) := Item;
        In_Index := In_Index mod Mbox_size + 1;
        Count := Count + 1;
      end Send;

  entry Receive ( Item : out Parcels ) when Count > 0 is
      -- block until non-empty
      begin
        Item := Data( Out_Index );
        Out_Index := Out_Index mod Mbox_Size + 1;
        Count := Count -1;
      end Receive;
Protected Types
Example (cont)

procedure Clear is
begin
  Count := 0;
  Out_Index := 1;
  In_Index := 1;
end Clear;

function Number_In_Box return Integer is
begin
  return Count;
end Number_In_Box;

end Mailbox;
end Mailbox_Pkg;
Killing a Task
Abort a task

- The “ABORT” statement can not only kill a task, but can have catastrophic effects upon the entire system.

- Any program unit that has “visibility” to a task object can “abort” the task thru the abort statement.

abort TASK_NAME;
Abort tasking

- This causes the task to become “abnormal”
- If the task is “blocked” or “ready”, it just becomes complete
- If not, it must become completed prior to any action affecting another task
Abort a Task

A task may “complete” in the middle of IO, updating a record, an assignment, etc.

Any entry in the tasks’ queues (or a “client” that was in rendezvous) now have a TASKING_ERROR raised

A task may kill itself to quickly terminate execution cleanly!!
Aborting a Task

“An abort statement should be used only in extremely severe situations requiring unconditional termination”

Any abort statement (other than a task aborting itself) should only be used as a last resort if the task is non-responsive or a “rogue” task!! Steps must be taken to ensure data and file integrity and recovery!!
Ada Standard
Features
that support
real-time
programming
What is Real-time?
Task Attributes

Task_Type’Callable;  -- is Task in a callable state.  
                      -- Boolean returned.

Task_Type’Terminated;  -- is Task Terminated.  
                           -- Boolean returned.

E’Count;  -- number of calls waiting in queue on an Entry.  
            -- return Universal_Integer;

T’Identify;  -- Yields a value of Task_ID  (Annex C)  
               -- Only allowed inside an entry_body or  
               -- accept  statement.
Features Required
(for low-level, real-time, embedded, and distributed systems)

Systems Programming Annex Annex C
Real-Time Annex Annex D

The Real-Time Annex requires the Systems Programming Annex for support
Standard Interfaces

pragma Import -- used to import a foreign language into Ada
pragma Export -- used to export an Ada entity to a foreign language
pragma Convention -- use the convention of another language
The following packages are REQUIRED by the standard:

- `package Interface.C` -- interface to C
- `package Interface.COBOL` -- interface for COBOL
- `package Interface.FORTRAN` - interface for FORTRAN
Systems Programming Annex
Annex C
Capabilities
(Systems Programming)

• Access to Machine Operations (machine dependent)
  Must have assembler (if available)
  Memory addressing and offsets must be specified
  Overhead with inline vs. subprogram calls documented
  Pragmas for interfacing assembler and Ada must be supplied

• Access to Interrupt Support
  pragma Interrupt_Handler (defines parameterless procedures
  that can be later attached to an interrupt)
  pragma Attach_Handler (can be used to specify attachment of
  parameterless procedure to a specific interrupt at
  initialization time). This pragma can be replaced by a
  dynamic procedure call to Attach_Handler that
  accomplishes the same thing.
package Ada.Interrupts is
  type Interrupt_Id is implementation_defined;
  type Parameterless_Handler is access protected procedure;
  function Is_Reserved (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id) return Boolean;
  function Is_Attached (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id) return Boolean;
  function Current_Handler (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id)
    return Parameterless_Handler;
  procedure Attach_Handler (New_Handler : Parameterless_Handler;
                           Interrupt   : Interrupt_Id);
  procedure Exchange_Handler
    (Old_Handler : out Parameterless_Handler;
     New_Handler : Parameterless_Handler; Interrupt   : Interrupt_Id);
  procedure Detach_Handler (Interrupt : Interrupt_Id);
  function Reference (Interrupt: Interrupt_Id) return Address;

private
  ... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Interrupts;
package Ada.Interrupts.Names is
  implementation_defined : constant Interrupt_Id :=
    implementation_defined;
...
  implementation_defined : constant Interrupt_Id :=
    implementation_defined;
private
  ... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Interrupts.Names;
Shared Variable Control

- Pragma Atomic  (applies to objects, components, or types)
- Pragma Atomic_Components (applies to arrays)
- Pragma Volatile (applies to objects, components, or types)
- Pragma Volatile_Components (applies to arrays)

The Atomic pragmas force indivisible read/write operations.
The Volatile pragmas force direct read/writes to memory
package Ada.Task_Identification is
    type Task_Id is private;
    Null_Task_Id : constant Task_Id;
    function "=" (Left, Right: Task_Id) return Boolean;
    function Image (T: Task_Id) return String;
    function Current_Task return Task_Id;
    procedure Abort_Task (T : in out Task_Id);

    function Is_Terminated(T : Task_Id) return Boolean;
    function Is_Callable (T : Task_Id) return Boolean;
private
    ... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Task_Identification;

*Image* returns an implementation-defined string that identifies a task.

*Current_Task* returns a value that identifies the task.
with Ada.Task_Identification;
generic
  type Attribute is private;
  Initial_Value : Attribute;
package Ada.Task_Attributes is
  type Attribute_Handle is access all Attribute;

  function Value
    return Attribute;

  function Reference
    return Attribute_Handle;

  procedure Set_Value   (Val : Attribute;
                        T : Task_Identification.Task_Id :=
                            Task_Identification.Current_Task);

  procedure Reinitialize
    (T : Task_Identification.Task_Id := Task_Identification.Current_Task);

end Ada.Task_Attributes;
Real-Time Annex

Specifies additional characteristics of Ada implementations intended for real-time systems software.

To conform to this annex, an implementation must also conform to the Systems Programming Annex.

Most of this annex consists of documentation requirements. An implementation must document the values of the annex-defined metrics for at least one hardware/system configuration.
pragma Priority (expression);

pragma Interrupt_Priority (optional expression);

The range of System.Interrupt_Priority shall include at least one value.

The range of System.Priority must have at least 30 values.

Interrupt_Priority is defined as being greater than Priority.

The following declarations exist in package System

subtype Any_Priority is Integer range implementation-defined;

subtype Priority is Any_Priority range Any_Priority’first..implementation-defined;

subtype Interrupt_Priority is Any_Priority range Priority’last+1..Any_Priority’last;

Default_Priority : constant Priority := ( Priority’first + Priority’last ) / 2;

DefaultInterrupt_Priority : constant Interrupt_Priority := Interrupt_Priority’last;
pragma Task_Dispatching_Policy (policy_identifier);

where FIFO_Within_Priorities is the only required policy. Other implementation-dependent policies may be defined

An implementation must document

- the maximum priority inversion a user task can experience
- whether execution of a task can be preempted by the implementation processing of delay expirations for lower priority tasks (and, if so, for how long)
The Ceiling_Locking policy (which specifies interactions between priority task scheduling and protected object ceilings) must be in effect for FIFO_Within_Priorities.

```plaintext
pragma Locking_Policy(policy_identifier)
```

where Ceiling_Locking is a predefined policy. Other policies may be implementation-defined.
Priority Ceiling Locking

An example WITHOUT Ceiling Locking

Three tasks

- P of priority 5
- Q of priority 3
- R of priority 1

Also, there is a protected object (O).

Task R is executing a procedure in O. P later requires access to the same procedure in O, but R must finish first. Q can preempt R.

P starts waiting

At this point, Q has blocked R and P

Q (priority 3) preempts R (priority 5)

R executing O, priority 1

(R must complete exclusive write access to O before P can preempt R)
Priority Ceiling Locking

Solution - Have the protected object \( O \) automatically execute at a “ceiling”.

Every protected object has a ceiling priority (set by either Priority or Interrupt_Priority pragma).

When a task executes a protected operation, it inherits the ceiling priority of the corresponding protected object.

If the active priority of the task is higher than the ceiling of the protected operation, a Program_Error is raised.
Expiration of Time Delay and Selective Accepts

If two or more selective accepts are present with different priorities, then the highest priority is executed.

If two or more expired delays or selective accepts are present with the same priority, the first in textual order is executed / selected.
Entry Queuing Policies

This specifies how the calls to a single entry point are queued up.

pragma Queuing_Policy (policy_identifier);

where FIFO_Queueing and Priority_Queueing are predefined. Other implementation-defined policies may exist.

FIFO_Queueing is the default.
Dynamic Priorities

Allows the priority of a task to be modified or queried at run time

with System;
with Ada.Task_Identification; -- See G.6.1
package Ada.Dynamic_Priorities is

   procedure Set_Priority(Priority : System.Any_Priority;
                          T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id :=
                          Ada.Task_Identification.Current_Task);

   function Get_Priority (T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id :=
                          return System.Any_Priority;

private
   ... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Dynamic_Priorities;
Preemptive Abort

Implementations must document

- Execution time (in processor clock cycles) that it takes for an abort_statement to cause completion
- On multiprocessors, the upper bound (in seconds) on the time that the completion of an aborted task can be delayed beyond the point that is required for a single processor
- An upper bound on the execution time of an asynchronous_select
Tasking Restrictions

The following are restrictions that are language-defined for use with the pragma Restrictions

- No_Task_Hierarchy
- No_Nested_Finalization
- No_Abort_Statement
- No_Terminate_Alternatives
- No_Task_Allocators
- No_Implicit_Heap_Allocation
- No_Dynamic_Priorities
- No_Asynchronous_Control
- Max_Select_Alternatives
- Max_Task_Entries
- Max_Protected_Entries
- Max_Storage_At_Blocking
- Max_Asynchronous_Select_Nesting
- Max_Tasks

These restrictions can provide safety in real-time embedded applications!!
Monotonic Time

This clause specifies a high-resolution, monotonic clock package

package Ada.Real_Time is

    type Time is private;
    Time_First: constant Time;
    Time_Last: constant Time;
    Time_Unit: constant := implementation_defined_real_number;

    type Time_Span is private;
    Time_Span_First: constant Time_Span;
    Time_Span_Last: constant Time_Span;
    Time_Span_Zero: constant Time_Span;
    Time_Span_Unit: constant Time_Span;

    Tick: constant Time_Span;
    function Clock return Time;

    ...

type Seconds_Count is range implementation-defined;

procedure Split (T : in Time; SC: out Seconds_Count;
               TS : out Time_Span);

function Time_Of(SC: Seconds_Count; TS: Time_Span)
     return Time;

private
... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Real_Time;
Monotonic Time Limits

The range of Time shall be sufficient to represent real ranges up to 50 years later.

Tick shall be no greater than 1 millisecond.

Time_Unit shall be less than or equal to 20 microseconds.

Time_Span_First shall be no Greater than -3600 seconds and Time_Span_Last no less than 3600 seconds.

The actual values of Time_First, Time_Last, Time_Span_First, Time_Span_Last, Time_Span_Unit and Tick shall be documented.
Delay Accuracy

An implementation shall document the following:

- An upper bound on the execution time (in processor clock cycles) of a delay_relative_statement whose requested values is less than or equal to zero.

- An upper bound of the execution time of a delay_until_statement whose requested value of the delay expression is less than or equal to the value of the Real_Time.Clock and Calendar.Clock.

- An upper bound on the lateness of a delay_relative_statement for a positive values of the delay (and delay_until_statement), in a situation where the task has sufficient priority to preempt the processor as soon as it becomes ready.
Synchronous Task Control

Describes a language-defined private semaphore (suspension object)

package Ada.Synchronous_Task_Control is
  type Suspension_Object is limited private;
  procedure Set_True(S : in out Suspension_Object);
  procedure Set_False(S : in out Suspension_Object);
  function Current_State(S : Suspension_Object) return Boolean;
  procedure Suspend_Until_True(S: in out Suspension_Object);
private
  ... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Synchronous_Task_Control;

• An object of type Suspension_Object has two states: True and False
• Set_True and Set_False are atomic with respect to each other
• Suspend_Until_True blocks the calling task until the state is True, Program_Error is raised if another task is already waiting
• Current_State returns the current state of the object.
Asynchronous Task Control

This clause introduces a language-defined package to do asynchronous suspend/resume on tasks.

with Ada.Task_Identification;
package Ada.Asynchronous_Task_Control is
    procedure Hold(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id);
    procedure Continue(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id);
    function Is_Held(T : Ada.Task_Identification.Task_Id) return Boolean;
private
    ... -- not specified by the language
end Ada.Asynchronous_Task_Control;
Asynchronous Task Control

• After the Hold operation, the task becomes “held”. There is a conceptual “idle task” whose priority is below System.Any_Priority’First. The held task is set to a “held priority” below the “idle task”.

• For a held task, it’s base priority no longer constitutes an inheritance source. Instead, the “held priority” is the new inheritance source.

• A Continue operation resets the state to not-held, and the priority is now reevaluated.
So -- why use Ada tasking?

Because Ada tasking is part of the language, and it’s a defined standard

- Can be easily certified (since it’s ONLY part of the language!!)

- In safety-critical environments, all components of a system must be specified and tested. This is difficult in other languages
More than just the Source Code must be Certified

Source Code → Compiler

Compiler → Linker

Library and Runtime code → Run-time System

This is Certified and is Safety Critical

System (Program)
Lack of experience in Ada programming causes poor code performance.

Lack of experience in "C/C++" causes code errors.
Questions?
The End